

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,173	01/20/2004	Yasushi Okubo	KOT-0088	4992
23413	7590 09/26/2006		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPHIA	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Comments	10/762,173	OKUBO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irina S. Zemel	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	·s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for a	This action is non-final.	· •	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	,
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application Papers 4) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Extended to the specification is objected to the specification is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement. aminer. accepted or b) □ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	121/d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	· ·	-	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	4		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International for the set the attached detailed Office action for the certification for the laternation for the laternatio	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

AIL OIIIL 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention Group I, claims 1-8 and 19 in the reply filed on 7-24-2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden on the examiner to examine all invention Groups together. This is not found persuasive because the examiner provided evidence of the different invention Groups being classified in different classes, which, by itself requires search for the inventions groups that is separate and not co-extensive, thus placing serious additional burden is examining all Groups together.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 9-18 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, 6-8 and 19 only define the claimed film by its properties. Such is indefinite as held by the BOARD.

Claims merely setting forth physical characteristics desired in article, and not setting forth specific compositions which would meet such characteristics, are invalid as

Application/Control Number: 10/762,173

Art Unit: 1711

BdApp) 157 USPQ 172.

vague, indefinite, and functional since they cover any conceivable combination of ingredients either presently existing or which might be discovered in future and which would impart desired characteristics; thus, expression "a liquefiable substance having a liquefaction temperature from about 40°C. to about 300°C. and being compatible with the ingredients in the powdered detergent composition" is too broad and indefinite since it purports to cover everything which will perform the desired functions regardless of its composition, and, in effect, recites compounds by what it is desired that they do rather

than what they are; expression also is too broad since it appears to read upon materials

that could not possibly be used to accomplish purposes intended.-Ex parte Slob (PO

Page 3

Even claims defining only one component of the film are still indefinite since having only one defined component is not sufficient to obtain the films of the claimed properties.

Claim 4 defines "X", but "X" does not appear in either of the Expressions. Note, that throughout the specification, X does not appear in expressions 1 or 2 either.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5, 7-8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US PGP 2002/0123209 to Yamada et al., (hereinafter "Yamada").

Yamada discloses films obtained by casting solution containing cellulose esters that correspond to the formulas claimed in claim 4, in the amounts corresponding to the claimed amounts and hydrolyzed silane polymers obtained by hydrolization of compounds fully corresponding to the compounds of claim 3. See illustrative examples and disclosure paragraphs [0040-43, 0047], illustrative example 1. The reference does not expressly address the claimed properties of the films, however, since the films are obtained from the components that are substantially identical to the claimed components and by the procedure substantially identical to the process disclosed in the instant application, it is reasonable believed that the claimed properties are inherently met by the films disclosed in the reference. The burden is shifted to the applicants to provide factual evidence to the contrary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada in combination with JP 2001-277267 to Konica Corp., (hereinafter "Konica").

The disclosure of Yamada is discussed above. The reference does not disclose addition pf plasticizers to the films disclosed in the reference. However, addition of

Application/Control Number: 10/762,173 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

plasticizers to cellulose ester based compositions (or any polymeric based composition) in order to achieve the desires effect that plasticizers bring into polymeric composition, i.e., plasticizing effect and reduction in Tg, of the base polymer for ease of processing is notoriously known in the art as evidenced for example, by Konica, where EPEG based plasticizers are added to the cellulose acetate based films for processing purposes.

See, for instance, illustrative example.

The invention as claimed, thus, would have been obvious from the combined teachings of the cited references as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,173

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711

ISZ